



Indigo Michaud <skyoptic6@gmail.com>

(no subject)

1 message

skybox <skyoptic6@gmail.com>

Mon, Oct 17, 2022 at 12:54 PM

To: police@corvallisoregon.gov

I have decided not to pursue a stalking order with Leticia Padilla because after reading the information on the County website, it's clearly not the means to the end I seek. My complaint is more about identity theft and fraud which could not be possible without the knowledge and consent of my landlord, Ivan Erdman. I believe he is guilty of invasions of privacy and obstruction of justice, at the very least. There have been a lot of drugs involved and the same ring of users I've complained about here are still at it, though they have cleaned up their yards better since the last round of busts. And I'm still treated like a mental patient no matter how much self control I've displayed and no matter how rational my questions have been. I still haven't got any answers. I don't think filling out a form for a stalking protective order will make any difference, besides the fact that I've tried so hard to be available for a one on one meeting and that's still what I want.

I think it will be just as futile to file a report with the Internet Crime Complaint Center (IC3), but that's the course of action Facebook and the FBI suggest and I am going to reclaim my hacked account. It is still evidence of a crime, even if your department doesn't think it's cause for investigation. All of my emails prior to 2017 are missing, too. This is a huge blow since I've used Gmail to store poetry and personal writings, and there was a lot of communication that had legal value regarding Highland View Mobile Estates. It may be from a change in Google policies, and I did use to have another email address, but at this time I am missing about 10 years of data and I have very little evidence of my residency in Space #53 where there has been reasonable suspicion of identity theft.

The reason I am writing to the Corvallis Police Department today is for some clarifications. The problems I face in my situation are both social and material. Dealing with the property issues separately makes sense, though my landlord has meddled in my private life. Regarding actual jurisdiction, where does the private property begin? Every time one calls the police, they're told they have to deal with the landlord... and the landlord tells people to call the police.

Nobody enforces the law here, except Ivan who believes he IS the law here. He even resented the recent arrest in Space #24 and had the police clear the building since he's always afraid there might be a bomb. By all rights, he should be a person of interest in more than a couple suspicious deaths, and he has blatantly violated landlord tenant law with impunity. He simply has the law rewritten to accommodate him, as seems evident in the 2020 Revision of Chapter 90, as opposed to the 2011 version of the Oregon Revised Statutes. And who enforces Chapter 90? I've asked this before, but nobody has replied. Ivan has refused mediation which the law required, and the owner just ignores me unless he thinks there might be some legal fallout, then offers you contact with his lawyer if telling lies won't work.

My question about jurisdiction relates to the common areas, such as the streets - does Ivan have a right to tell anyone they're not allowed on the property if he's not willing to sign a trespass notice? Access to the common areas is covered in the law, and for that reason your department is called when there's a prowler or someone goes speeding through the park. The fence is part of the common areas, too. That things like the barbed wire on Sundance is private property does not keep it from being a public hazard, but while the police are refusing to deal with that, officers have had no qualms showing up for an argument or because a neighbor thought they 'might have' seen me naked in my home. I surely couldn't get away with putting up a fence like that if I had property near a school, and I do think I would have received a more rapid response if I were a concerned mother. I've also been told I'm not allowed to grow pot here because I live in a school zone, but it seems others are allowed. Do equal rights apply on private property? The tyranny here is beyond civil and there are already laws in place if someone wants to employ them to serve and protect all the residents here, as well as the public. I don't think I'm the only one whose rights are violated, either. Although my complaints have often been about a lack of due process, and I have mostly sought information in my quest for social justice, the biggest problem I face is the violation of privacy - the eavesdropping. That this has gone on for so long it could not possibly be a secret to law enforcement. The stalking could not possibly be all digital, either, as there have been countless times private conversations are reflected in public. Technicalities like a cracked open window are no justification for what must be the use of electronic means, and several other people have had the same complaint. The favoritism in this park as to who has rights and who doesn't is more than obvious, and what's happening here is illegal. *What?!? Huh? Can you prove it?* The issue comes from the people who require things in writing but refuse to put things in writing. As in many federal cases, if one goes the extreme distance to prove a violation has happened, they only end up proving that there was no evidence prior and therefore no major crime, no right to retry, and then usually someone has to step down and be replaced so there's no liability. And nothing changes. In my case, nobody is interested in the evidence that does exist, suspicious as it may be, and all I want is

some answers. I do think if there was a role reversal, I'd get more attention about being stalked but it's a violation of privacy that's to blame. Neither the stalking, nor the identity theft could have happened without the eavesdropping, and that could only happen with the knowledge and consent of management. There are laws against this and it deserves to be investigated. I own my home and have all the rights of a home owner according to the law. Regarding privacy, one party consent doesn't apply to the extent that Ivan should feel the right to eavesdrop, even if he does consider himself the authority over my home, but his statements in the past lead me to believe that he thinks he should have no locks against him, that he should be able to enter your home at will, and that he should have control over who you let visit. This is very serious. And pathological. He gets other people to do things for him so he doesn't have to suffer any exposure, but he has only ever identified himself as the property manager and a youth pastor for the Jehovah's Witnesses. I have asked this before, but does Ivan have any special legal privilege? I have suspected that maybe he's DHS or FBI, but he's never shown me a badge or said so. I'm accusing him of abusing his privileges, whatever the case, and neither seem to care. As well, while I have approached this from a grass roots local level, my questions and complaints have been summarily ignored if I'm not given the runaround. So the clarification I want here is two-sided - do the Corvallis Police believe anyone is above the law, and do you believe all people are entitled to equal rights?

Although the Police have been very nice to me in communications, I have received almost no support in the 15 years I've lived here and there is a long list of crimes against me that I've complained about, I'm sure you're aware. And yet, nobody can tell me why my requests are always dismissed? Whenever I have found social support, with the police or on the street, they've been run off and I've caught Jehovah's Witnesses doing this to me, as well as Leticia herself getting me alienated at dispensaries and coffeeshops, even at my doctor's office. Since becoming sober a decade ago, literally every restaurant where I've started to make friends gets bought out and I'm subsequently unwelcome. Besides very contrived setups, they don't want to let me have a relationship. This began long before my friend Barbara died and Ivan resented us for defending each other. Since her death, he's been especially aggressive with his policies against me and that's what has precipitated this last year's string of letters.

This is the last letter I intend to write to local law enforcement. As I'm sorting through old photographs and collecting what writings I have, I'm also getting my website back in order and I plan to start blogging again this Winter. This way, anyone who's interested can read and I don't have to know where to send stuff. There will be no more Facebook to hack, anyone can contact me directly, and I'll announce when there's an event I want to share so nobody will have to stalk me. The spying is unwelcome and it needs to stop. I still want answers. I'm offended that a dispatcher would ask me if I'm having thoughts about hurting myself or others when I'm trying to report identity theft and fraud, and the irony that my stalker is hooked up with a cop and dating a different dispatcher's brother. The moment I reported that I'd seen Leticia, a call went out to 816 - and I am really tired of all the cloak and dagger bullshit. Last Monday, I was coming in to the Crystal Lake boat ramp after floating the river from Peoria when I saw them last. Like with other meetings, there was no advance notice to me and she had an entourage of people with her, many different guys over the years. I don't know what her status is, either, or if she has some special legal privilege. I was soaking wet, but completely available.

If there is any reason I should not be allowed to meet with an officer of the law, or anyone, please let me know. I will walk to the boat ramp and be there again at 7 pm tonight (because it's more convenient than milepost 93). Please tell Leticia. I have been informed that the police don't do such things, but I know otherwise. She is certainly welcome to call me or come knock on my door, but I would appreciate it if she would stop trying to control my social life while my landlord is trying to keep me from having one. I insist on maintaining my personal sovereignty. Related to a question officer Doughty had, I listen to the police channel often. I keep an ear open to what's happening on the street, too. I'm a little like a news junkie in this respect and I wish there was a lot more transparency in the legal system. I completely respect the fact that the police require some special privileges, however. For all the officers who responded when Barbara died, I owe them thanks - especially officer Fromhurst (sp?), and the beautiful woman with the big hair. But for the group that ridiculed me near the roundabout on 53rd (was it 434's old place?), for those who think men are inferior, or those who feel they are too holy to be subject to the law - those officers should reconsider the difference between rights and privileges.

I don't really expect a reply to this, but a written reply would be great. Without a resolve in this situation, these issues are likely to come up again. I leave it to the law enforcers to determine the law. And I urge better communication on all levels.

Indigo Michaud
(541) 286 8551
skysurfer.media